

#30  
9.

RECEIVED 21 OCT 2014

**Songer, Rachael (DCS)**

---

**From:**  
**Sent:** Monday, 20 October 2014 4:48 PM  
**To:** DCS:Minister Piccolo  
**Subject:** minister re the emergency Services Review

After looking over you proposed model I am deeply concerned that all identity of CFS, SES and MFS will be lost . CFS Volunteers are very protective of that . I believe that being common at the regional level will be made up of MFS paid staff that are looking for a position leading to retirement and will be Paid sector biased . This would lead to a loss of specialised expertise in each sector.

After attending the CFSVA meeting last week I believe that their proposal would be acceptable to Volunteers ,however most would believe no change would be best. As it seems that all other states seem envious of our system.

I would wonder if there was a Review of SA Government and the review suggested that SA change to the American , or Japanese system of Government How many politicians would be up in arms against such change.

I look back at the NRM where several Boards have been amalgamated and a lot of specialties have gone by the way due to funding cuts.

About which we are constantly being bombarded by climate change scenarios that point to bigger storms , more and bigger Fires yet the sector is being required to get efficiencies' savings and I feel that your proposal will create a loss of expertise in these two areas.

I still see no Financial gain in your proposal.

To see a Benefit I feel that you need to look at areas such as wastage in infrastructure ( Taj Mahal buildings ) and such things as vehicle leasing over purchasing, Allowing groups/ regions to manage building new stations to set specifications .

Thank you for the cancer legislation update.

Sent from Windows Mail